		7,000		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2020-21
11.	CPA PROJEC	T APPLICATION	N FORM		
	[CPC Use Only: Da Assigned CPC #20	te Received	By:	7779	The state of the s
I	f possible, use word papplicable.	processor to fill out for	m. Please answer all que	stions, use "N/A	" if not
	5 P. (180 - 190 -	and Organization: Los	Haddad, Mark F		
	Organization(s) (if a	ppropriate) Groton Select Box	ard and Groton Dunstable Regional School	irst I Committee	
			Yes, Town/Organization:	Select Board/GDR	SD Committee
	Submission Date: 1/				
3.	Applicant Address: S				
		ty/ State: Groton, MA	ZIP: 14	150	-
			dad@grotonma.gov		
5.	CPA Purpose. Chec Community Hous Recreation ✓	k a <u>ll that</u> apply: ing (Affordable I	Housing: Historic Pres	servation*: O	pen Space:
	noted on the state	s registry of historic p	proposed historic projects places require a determina s of historic significance.	that are not on t	the structures on Historic
6.			elect Board and GDRSD) Committee	
			treet Groton, MA 0145		
		School Track Cons			
9.	Additional Responsible	le Parties (If applicable	e):		
	Role (specify)	Name	Address	Ph. (w) (cell)	Email
	Property/Site Owner	Town of Groton	173 Main Street	978-448-111	mhaddad@gr
	Project Manager	Mark Haddad	Same	No.	
	Lead Architect		179 Boylston St # P	617-524-555	info@studio@
	Project Contractor	TBD	-		0
	Project Consultants	Left Field	25 Franklin St, 26th	(617) 737 64	information@l
	Other:			(-11)/01	
	Other				
10.	As appropriate, indica	ate if proposal require	s P&S agreement N De	ed IV	
	Option agreement 1	Other-describe:			
11.	a.) Assessor info. (ma	ap/ block/ lot id.(s)): 1	10/42 b.) Tax cl	assification type	:_R-A
12.	Permits required: Zor	ning: Historic Pr	eservation:Other :_		
13.	Historic Commission		n required):		
	Funding: a.)Project C Requested from CPC:		e: \$ Profession		
		al income :\$ \$ 0.00	c.) Committed from ote.) Annual anticipated		
f.) A	inticipated net income	(loss): \$	g.) Estimator name/co to indicate all that apply:	mpany: PM&C	,
16.	Project Timelines: Pro	posed Start Date: 7/1		olete Date: 6/30/2	3
17.	Estimated Delivery Da	ate of Completion Rep			

oton	Community Preservation Plan
18.	Project description and explanation (attach additional sheets as needed): See attached project description and explanation.
19. F	easibility: Since the land is owned by the Town/District and the Select Board and School Committee are in support
_	of the project, once funding is approved, the project will commence unfettered.
20. L	List of attachments: 1. Project Estimate. 2. Minutes from Florence Roche Building Committee. 3. Community
-	Letters of Support. 4. Potential Bonding Scenarios.
21. A	additional Information:
-	
_	
-	
2. M	anagement Plan: The Town Manager, as Chief Procurement Officer, and in conjunction with the Owner's Project
M	lanager for the Florence Roche Construction and Studio G Architects, will design and bid the project. The OPM,
as	s Clerk of the Works for the Florence Roche Construction Project, will oversee the construction and compliance with
ti	he plans. The Town Manager and School Superintendent will be responsible for approval of all invoices relative to
th	is project.
2 ^=	Hushada Hushadal
	pplicant Signature: Date 1-4-21 Applicant Signature: Date 1-4-21
_	Date:

Co Applicant Signature: _____ Date: _____

Groton Community Preservation Application Project Description and Explanation

Middle School Track Construction

The Groton Dunstable Regional School District Committee and Groton Select Board are jointly submitting this project application. On October 28, 2020, the School District's Business Manager submitted a CPA Project Summary entitled "Groton Dunstable Natural Field Restoration". This project was submitted in an effort to restore various fields owned by the District to safe and usable conditions for both students and the community in general. While the District will still be submitting an overall project application, the GDRSD Committee, Select Board and Florence Roche Building Committee are providing this application as a supplement to the original application.

History/Background

Two years ago, the Groton Dunstable Regional School District (GDRSD) Committee submitted an application to the Massachusetts School Building Assistance Program (MSBA) for funding to renovate and/or reconstruct the Florence Roche Elementary School. The Florence Roche Elementary School is over 50 years old and in need of a major overhaul. The School is owned by the Town of Groton and leased to the School District. There is strong support of the project by the Groton Select Board and GDRSD Committee. The MSBA has given preliminary approval for the project and will provide reimbursement to the Town of up to 49% of eligible project costs. The Town of Groton will bond the project on behalf of the District and the Town Manager and School Superintendent will be responsible for project oversight, along with the Florence Roche Elementary School Building Committee that was appointed by the GDRSD Committee to oversee and develop the project on behalf of the Town and District.

The Building Committee has hired an Owner's Project Manager (Left Field) and Architect (Studio G Architects) to design the project with the Committee's approval. As part of the design process, the Committee needed to determine whether to renovate or reconstruct the Elementary School. After a thorough examination of the current building and the anticipated renovation costs, the Building Committee determined that it was in the best interest of the Town/District, both financially

and in the long-term interest of the District, to build a brand new school and demolish the current building. Once this decision was made, the Committee needed to determine the best location for the new School. An exhaustive site study was conducted and it was determined that the best location for the new School would be on the site of the current Middle School Track. This site was best suited for a new School and was the most cost-effective solution.

Once this site was selected, the Building Committee needed to determine whether or not the track would be replaced. The Committee was informed that the current track was not a regulation track and the School District could develop reasonable alternatives to provide the same kind of activities currently taking place on the Middle School Track. Based on this, the Committee voted not to replace the Track. Since that vote, the Committee was informed that the Track was indeed a regulation track and losing it would be extremely detrimental to the District and the Community in general.

Project Request for Funding

The Building Committee conducted two meetings to discuss whether or not to reconsider its decision not to replace the Track (see attached minutes). At these meetings, over 60 people attended each session to express their desire that the Track be reconstructed. After the first meeting, the Committee voted unanimously to include the Track in the Florence Roche Elementary School Construction Project and directed the OPM and Architect to develop plans and cost estimates for a new Track. The Architect developed plans for the Track and the OPM hired PM&C to develop a construction estimate. The estimate (attached) came in at \$1,405,374. In addition, the OPM determined that the new Track would not be eligible for reimbursement under the MSBA Program as the Project had already reached its maximum reimbursement for Site costs. The Town would be responsible for the entire construction cost.

At the second Building Committee meeting where this was discussed, the Committee voted again to support the new Track and voted to direct GDRSD Committee Chair Marlena Gilbert and Town Manager Mark Haddad to determine if applying for Community Preservation Funds to pay for the Track was a reasonable alternative. Since the School Committee had filed a preliminary project application for Field Restoration, it was determined that this application could be submitted to

the Community Preservation Committee for consideration. Since the Track Reconstruction is not eligible for State support under the MSBA program, the Committees believe that CPA funds provide state reimbursement in keeping with the overall intent of the project. It is the understanding of the Committee that the Town of Groton received 41% in CPA Matching Funds in Fiscal Year 2021. If this carries through over the next several years, this Track would be supported by State funding as well (up to \$576,000 of the overall project cost).

Support for this Application

At the December 21, 2020 meeting of the Groton Select Board, the Board voted to submit this application. At the January 6, 2021 meeting of the Groton Dunstable Regional School District Committee, the Committee voted to submit this application. The Select Board has received a petition signed by over fifty (50) residents (copy attached) in support of this Project Application. In addition, various community groups, including the Groton Dunstable Boosters, Groton Road Race, Pack 12 Cub Scouts, Groton Council of Aging and Groton-Dunstable Special Education Parent Advisory Council have expressed support and are planning on submitting letters to the Community Preservation Committee in support of this application.

Financing the Project

Due to the fact that the funding request is in excess of any one-year ability of the CPA to fund the project, we are including on our application various bonding scenarios for your consideration. Attached to this Summary are bond payment schedules for 5, 7, 10 and 15 years to assist you in determining the best way to fund/approve this worthy application.

On behalf of the Groton Select Board and Groton Dunstable Regional School District Committee, I appreciate your consideration of this request

Mark W. Haddad Town Manager



Florence Roche Elementary School Groton, MA

CODE	DESCRIPTION		T	UNIT	EST'D	SUB	TOTAL
SITEWO	DRK - TRACK BREAKOUT VALUES	QTY	UNIT	COST	COST	TOTAL,	COST
	312000 EARTH MOVING						
	Allowance for cut/fill		1 120				
	Site demo allowance; includes tree removals	1	ls	75,000.00	75,000		
	Silt fence/erosion control allowance	1	ls	50,000.00	50,000		
	SUBTOTAL	2,000	If	11.00	22,000		
						147,000	
	SYNTHETIC TRACK						
	Asphalt Paving; track oval	30,700	sf				
	gravel base; 12" thick	1,137	cy	40.00	45 495		
	asphalt top; 1.5" thick	288	tns	150.00	45,481		
	asphalt binder; 1.5" thick	288	tns	130.00	43,172		
	Fine grade	30,700	sf	0.10	37,416		
	Asphalt Paving; high jump area only to match existing	4,000	sf	0.10	3,070		
	gravel base; 12" thick	148	cy	40.00	5 006		
	asphalt top; 1.5" thick	38	tns	150.00	5,926		
	asphalt binder; 1.5" thick	38	tns	130.00	5,625		
	Fine grade	4,000	sf	0.10	4,875		
	Asphalt Paving; Long jump/triple jump runways (2 total)	1,050	sf	0.10	400		
	gravel base; 12" thick	39	сy	40.00	1,556		
	asphalt top; 1.5" thick	10	tns	150.00	1,477		
	asphalt binder; 1.5" thick	10	tns	130.00	1,280		
	Fine grade	1,050	sf	0.10	105		
	Asphalt Paving; Pole vault rumway	850	sf		105		
	gravel base; 12" thick	31	cy	40.00	1.250		
	asphalt top; 1.5" thick	8	tns	150.00	1,259 1,195		
	asphalt binder; 1.5" thick	8	tns	130.00	1,036		
	Fine grade	850	sf	0.10	85		
	Asphalt Paving; Javelin runway	850	sf	0.10	05		
	gravel base; 12" thick	31	cy	40.00	1,259		
	asphalt top; 1.5" thick	8	tns	150.00	1,195		
	asphalt binder; 1.5" thick	8	tns	130.00	1,036		
	Fine grade	850	sf	0.10	85		
	Permeable track surface - BSS-100	37,450	sf				
	Complete track surface (not premium colors)	4,161	sy	42.00	174,767		
	SUBTOTAL				-7477-07	332,299	
	WALKWAYS					30-1-77	
	Accessible walkway assumed 6' wide						
	gravel base; 12" thick	1,200	sf				
	Concrete walkway; 6" thick	44	cy	40.00	1,778		
	Fine grade	1,200	sf	14.00	16,800		
	Walkway around outside lane line of trock to match existing assumed 4' wide	1,200	sf	0.10	120		
	gravel base; 8" thick	6,240	sf				
	asphalt top; 1.5" thick	155	cy	40.00	6,194		
	asphalt binder; 2.5" thick	59	tns	175.00	10,238		
	Fine grade	97	tns	150.00	14,602		
	SUBTOTAL	6,240	sf	0.10	624		
						50,355	
	RETAINING WALLS						
	Provide retaining wall - allowance		1.				
	SUBTOTAL	1	Is	75,000.00	75,000		
						75,000	
	NATURAL GRASS FIELD						
	Imported native rootzone; 8" thick	2,364	cy	65.00	153,635		
	Fine grade	95,250	sf	0.10	9,525		
	Field area sod	95,250	sf	1.25	119,063		
	Lawn - loam and seed - surronding areas	1	ls	50,000.00	50,000		
	Irrigation	95,250	sf	0.75	71,438		
	Irrigation pump allowance SUBTOTAL	1	ls	20,000.00	20,000		
	SUDIOTAL					423,660	
	EVENTS					10.000	
	Long jump/Triple jump pits_no catchers and painted takeoff boards						
	Pole vault event incl. concrete pad for landing pad	2	ea	10,000.00	20,000		
	Football goalposts	1	ea	5,000.00	5,000		
				assumed a	ot required		
	Lacrosse goals / Soccer goals			the contract of	ior redimed		



Florence Roche Elementary School Groton, MA

Schematic Design Estimate

07-Dec-20

CODE	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT	COST	EST'D COST	SUB TOTAL	TOTAL COST
SITEWOR	RK - TRACK BREAKOUT VALUES					10112	COSI
	Shot put pad and toe board; landing area assumed field						
	20' Sports netting - assume 180' both ends	1	ea	7,500.00	7,500		
	Signage allowance	360	lf .	160.00	57,600		
	SUBTOTAL	1	Is	5,000.00	5,000		
						100,100	
	STORAGE SHED FOR ATHLETICS						
	Storage shed; 20'x20'						
	Storage shed pad; 22'x22'	400	sf	75.00	30,000		
	Lighting/switches inside shed allowance	484	sf	30.00	14,520		
		1	Is	5,000.00	5,000		
	Provide power to shed allowance SUBTOTAL	1	Is	15,000.00	15,000		
	SUBIUIAL					64,520	
	DRAINAGE					04020	
	ACO 4000 sport drains; straights and d-areas (no turf shelf required)	1,312	lf	120.00	157,440		
	Field area drainage allowance	1	Is	55,000.00	55,000		
	SUBTOTAL					212,440	
Г	TOTAL TRACK AND PART DAMES						
1	TOTAL - TRACK AND FIELD DEVELOPMENT (does not include mark-ups)						¢1 40= 0=
1		LONG THE STREET					\$1,405,374

Synthetic track_SCS 12-03-20 RECOM FINAL

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

Building Committee Members Present/Absent [6 voting members required for a quorum]

Committee Member	Present	Absent	Late [time arrived]
Fay Raynor	✓		A STREET
Mark Haddad	✓		-
Alison Schroeder Manugian	✓		
Luke Callahan	✓		
Brian O'Donoghue	1		
Dr. Laura Chesson	1		
Colby Doody	✓		TOTAL SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH
Marlena Gilbert	✓		Water Co.
Jake Lewon	✓		and the second s
Sherry Kersey		√	

Guests: David Saindon [Leftfield], Eileena Long [Leftfield], Sylvia Mihich [SGA], Meryl Nistler [SGA], 41 Members of the Public, The Groton Channel

1. Call meeting to order

Ms. Raynor called the Building Committee [BC] meeting to order at 6:01 PM.

2. Vote to approve meeting minutes

MOTION

Ms. Raynor made a motion to approve the 10/13/2020 meeting minutes. The motion was seconded by Ms. Manugian and approved 9-0-1-0 (yes-no-absent-abstained) via roll call vote:

Committee Member	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Fay Raynor	1			
Mark Haddad	✓			
Alison Manugian	✓			and the control of th
Luke Callahan	1			

Groton-Dunstable Building Committee Meeting Minutes November 24, 2020

Web-based Virtual Meeting - 6:00 PM

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

Brian O'Donoghue	✓	
Laura Chesson	✓	
Colby Doody	✓ ·	
Marlena Gilbert	✓	
Jake Lewon	✓	
Sherry Kersey		✓

3. Vote to approve invoices & commitments: None

4. Designer / OPM Updates:

a. Project Budget: None

b. Vote to approve Schematic Design: None

c. Inspector General [IG] Construction Manager at Risk [CM-R] Application Review: Mr. Saindon provided an overview of the Application review for the CM-R process. Mr. Saindon stated the BC must appoint a CM-R Sub-Committee with 1 member from the OPM team, 1 member from the Designer team and at least 2 members from the BC. Mr. Saindon stated the plan is to submit the Office of Inspector General application in January 2021. Mr. Haddad volunteered to be a member of the CM-R Sub-Committee. Mr. Lewon stated he would be more than happy to engage in this sub-committee. Mr. Doody stated that he would be happy to be part of this sub-committee.

- d. Vote to approve CM-R Sub-Committee: None
- e. Community Input update/ Track: Ms. Nistler presented the presentation agenda for the night which included a Community Input Update, Track and Look-ahead Project Schedule. Ms. Gilbert presented the attached Slide #2/ Community Input Update. Ms. Gilbert stated as she started speaking to more community members about a separate upcoming CPC project it came to her attention that although there was a community survey done, some public meetings, Select Board

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

meeting and a public forum this project didn't have the Community's attention. Ms. Gilbert apologized to the BC as she said it falls in her shoulders, however she stated the "train has not left the station." Ms. Gilbert acknowledged that there were a lot of people from the Community listening in on the meeting and said "that is great to see." Ms. Gilbert stated that during the public meetings & community survey for the project there was no opposition to not keeping the track in the project and there was no request to keep the track, so therefore the BC decided to make decisions based on their evaluation based on the entire project, that was a financial decision and a decision on how to get by without the track. Ms. Gilbert said the amount of emails and phone calls about the decision to remove the track as part of the project was enough for the BC to re-evaluate and re-consider this decision. Ms. Gilbert stated she pulled CPC records for the track and the existing track is a 400m MIAA track which is eligible for meets Ms. Gilbert stated that is a big change [in perspective] for her. Ms. Gilbert stated the track can be part of the academic program and can host meets and championships that is a very positive aspect for the Community should they chose to continue to maintain that asset. Ms. Gilbert said currently there is busing that occurs between the school sports teams and the BC was under the impression that if the district buses High School students to the Middle School then the district could bus children from the Middle School to the High School to use the High School track. Ms. Gilbert stated the BC should reconsider incorporating relocation of the track program into the project. Ms. Nistler presented the attached Slide #3/ proposed 400m MIAA Track Location. Ms. Nistler noted that the proposed program for the track and field is the same for the current track and field program, and includes: discuss, shot put, high jump, long jump, and turbo javelin 11v11 soccer and lacrosse fields are located inside the track. Ms. Nistler noted that the location is preliminary and further analysis will need to be studied in regard to, but not limited to existing site contours/ site grading, wetland boundaries, and ramifications of proposed impervious surfaces. Mr. Haddad requested an estimated cost for the reconstruction of the track, Mr. Saindon stated that on 12/8/2020 the estimated costs for the track will be presented. A community member asked in the chat: will Dunstable pay any portion towards the proposed middle school field & track? Ms. Gilbert

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

responded that no, Groton is bonding the project and the track relocation is an incidental created because the project is choosing to use space where the track is. Ms. Gilbert also noted that Dunstable installed a \$300,000 field, playground and basketball at Swallow Union where Groton has 120 students enrolled and did not require Groton to participate in that project. Ms. Manugian commented that the track design is good and requested how finalized the relocated track location is, Mr. Saindon commented that once the project passes this location can be refined and dialed in. Mr. Doody agreed with Ms. Manugian's concerns and commented that the track is a valuable asset and glad the team can incorporate this into the project. Ms. Gilbert commented that incorporating this into the project also solves a capital project expense as well and supports this being incorporated into the project. Mr. O'Donoghue, Dr. Chesson, Mr. Callahan and Mr. Lewon commented that they support the track being added into the project. A community member asked about the distance between Main Street and the new school playground. Ms. Raynor responded that there is a buffer and also a significant elevation change between Main Street and the new playground and she noted the concern is duly noted and stated this project has been reviewed and will continue to be reviewed with the police department, fire department and many entities in Town in regards to the security and safety of the project. A Community Member asked for the ceiling cost of the project, Mr. Saindon commented that the limit for the project that was established was \$80M with \$55M Groton share \$25M MSBA share. Mr. Saindon commented that even with the track, the project will be under \$80M. 2 community members commented that as residents who are adjacent to the Florence Roche Elementary School, they are in support of relocating the track as discussed and shown on Slide #3. A community member commented that they are also adjacent to the Florence Roche Elementary School, and they would like to know more about the layout and details; Ms. Raynor commented there is considerable buffer around the new track. A community member commented that another advantage to having a track is it provides athletic options for students with disabilities. A community member asked if the Architect could review moving the track so it does not impact the cross country trail; Ms. Raynor stated that the team will finesse the best location for the track as the design moves forward. A

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

community member questioned the net addition or deduct to parking at the new school; Ms. Gilbert commented that the project is adding parking spaces to the current design. Mr. Saindon stated that the team will follow-up with an actual number of parking spaces added. A community member commented that lacrosse does not play during the fall, however field hockey plays in the fall. A community member commented that there were 81 students that ran in cross country last season. A community member commented that Groton Scouting uses the track as well and supports the relocation of the track. Ms. Nistler thanked the BC for their thoroughness. Ms. Gilbert thanked the SGA for their efforts to incorporate the track as such a late stage in the project. Ms. Gilbert commented that the BC will work hard to ensure the project comes in under budget as there is no going over budget and the "pencils will be sharpened somewhere else." Multiple community members commented that they are thankful that the track was incorporated into the project. Ms. Raynor requested that the community members continue to keep up with the project and review the documents on the website for updated floor plans and diagrams. Ms. Gilbert stated there is a community member who is interested in fundraising for the track.

MOTION

Mr. Haddad made a motion to approve incorporating the track into the Schematic Design of the project. The motion was seconded by Ms. Gilbert and approved 9-0-1-0 (yes-no-absent-abstained) via roll call vote:

Committee Member	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Fay Raynor	✓			
Mark Haddad	1			
Alison Manugian	1			
Luke Callahan	1			
Brian O'Donoghue	1			
Laura Chesson	1			Approximate the second
Colby Doody	1			
Marlena Gilbert	1			

Web-based virtual Meeting - 0:0

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

Jake Lewon	√	
Sherry Kersey		V

- 5. Look-ahead project schedule/work plan: Ms. Nistler presented the revised Schematic Design (SD) Schedule as shown on Slide #4. At the next BC meeting on 12/8/2020 LF will present an updated Total Project Budget with the track incorporated into the budget. SGA will send the Draft SD submission package without the track to the BC on 12/4/2020 and then will send the updated SD submission package with the track on 12/11/2020. On the agenda for 12/15/2020, is the voting on the SD submission package; however, if needed the BC can meet on 12/22/2020 to vote on the SD submission package.
- **6.** <u>Communication / outreach update:</u> The BC will develop a plan for further community outreach in January 2021.
- 7. Public comment: See Agenda Item 4-e for public comment with regard to the track.

8. Adjourn

Ms. Manugian made a motion to adjourn the meeting; the motion was seconded by Ms. Gilbert and approved 9-0-1-0 (yes-no-absent-abstained) via roll call vote.

Committee Member	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Fay Raynor	✓			
Mark Haddad	✓			
Alison Manugian	1			
Luke Callahan	✓			
Brian O'Donoghue	✓			
Laura Chesson	✓			
Colby Doody	1			
Marlena Gilbert	✓			
Jake Lewon	1			
Sherry Kersey			1	

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561



Flo Ro Track

2 messages

Karla King <karla.king14@gmail.com> To: fraynor@gdrsd.org

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 7:02 AM

Good morning

I will be unable to attend the meeting relative to this topic but wanted to share my feedback. I've run the Groton road race several times and running has been the key to mental and physical health in such a challenging year. My son just started kindergarten at Flo Ro and in a time where technology is highly prevalent and kids have to spend time on tablets, the importance of outdoor play and physical activity is at an all time high. It is great to have a place to be able to run with my kids. We did track sprints with some obstacles in the center. Encouraging kids to run, be athletic, and play outside is so important so I fully support keeping the track as part of the Flo Ro expansion project. Also important to parents health:)

Thanks

Karla L. King

Fay Raynor <fraynor@gdrsd.org>

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 8:55 AM

To: Karla King <karla.king14@gmail.com>, Marlena Gilbert <mgilbert@gdrsd.org>

Thank you so much Karla for your email.

It's been great to hear about how the community uses the track. All the community comments will be relayed to the committee and make for a more informative meeting.

Take Care and again thank you. Fay



Flo Ro track

2 messages

Mandy Beebe <mandy.beebe1@gmail.com> To: mgilbert@gdrsd.org, fraynor@gdrsd.org

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 10:53 AM

Hi,

I might not be able to attend tomorrow's meeting so wanted to give my vote for a replacement track. The existing track has been a great asset for many years for the Groton road race, the summer track program, and for kids to practice outside of school hours. The downtown location makes it easily accessible to all.

Thanks. Mandy Beebe

Fay Raynor <fraynor@gdrsd.org>

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 10:58 AM

To: Mandy Beebe <mandy.beebe1@gmail.com> Cc: Marlena Gilbert <mgilbert@gdrsd.org>

Thank you Mandy for your email. I greatly appreciate your insight and comments and will bring your thoughts to the committee. Take Care Fay



FloRo project/track

2 messages

Betsy <elizabethpetros@ymail.com>

To: mgilbert@gdrsd.org Cc: fraynor@gdrsd.org

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 8:42 AM

I'm unable to attend the meeting Tuesday evening, but I wanted to give my input on the FloRo project/track. Being a resident of Gratuity Road, slightly over 1 mile from the Main Street campus, the track is a vital part of my older daughter's life. She's a freshman at Groton Dunstable Regional High School and a member of the varsity cross-country team. She uses that track at least twice a week on a consistent basis, and it is a vital component to her cross country training when she is off-season. Throughout the pandemic, it has been a safe place that she can go to destress as a teenager and improve her running skills. Losing the Main Street track would be a major loss in our house specifically. I hope the future of the project will include a track at the Main Street campus. Thank you. Elizabeth Petros

207 Gratuity Road

Fay Raynor <fraynor@gdrsd.org>

To: Betsy <elizabethpetros@ymail.com> Cc: Marlena Gilbert <mgilbert@gdrsd.org> Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 8:45 AM

Thank you so much Betsy for your email. I appreciate the insight and will relay your comment to the committee. Fay



Support for FloRo Project Track

2 messages

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 8:58 AM

To whom it may concern,

I am writing in support of the track behind FloRo.

Our family has 2 children currently in middle school and one running cross country. We are also members of the Squannacook River Runners and participate in the annual Groton Road Race.

The track is an extremely important part of the education and community. The track is the central point/ location for all track/ field/ cross country teams. It is home to the annual Groton Road Race- a terrific family event for the entire community. It is a great place for anyone in the town to go for exercising/ running in the evenings and on weekends. My wife and I would use the track during our marathon training on speed/ interval training purposes.

We were devastated to hear that the track would be eliminated as part of the Flo Ro new school building.

We urge you to reconsider and find a way to either retain or replace the track on the FloRo/ GDRMS campus.

Thank you

The Harper family (Brad, Cheney, Lyra and Willow)

Fay Raynor <fraynor@gdrsd.org>

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 9:00 AM

Thank you so much Brad for your insight.

I will relay community comments to the committee and greatly appreciate your email. Take Care,

Fay



Track

3 messages

Sarah Lampe <sarahmlampe@yahoo.com>

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 10:50 AM

To: "fraynor@gdrsd.org" <fraynor@gdrsd.org>, Marlena Gilbert <mgilbert@gdrsd.org>

Hi Marlena and Fay,

I know this is probably a monster headache for you guys but since you are asking for input from the community I thought I'd send my two cents. I would love to see the track get saved if it's possible. I love the Groton Road Race and see it as a great community event that uses the track. Also my Middle School kids use the track for cross country and track and field. I'm not sure what would happen to those programs without the track.

However, my biggest problem with the new Flo Ro design is putting the elementary play space in FRONT of the school. I moved here from a town that neighbors Sandy Hook, CT so security is always foremost in my mind. When I moved here and was looking at school districts, I know I would have steered away from a school with a playground in plain view of the main street and where you don't have to go around or through building security to have contact with children.

Thanks.

Sarah

Fay Raynor fraynor@gdrsd.org>

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 10:57 AM

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 11:32 AM

To: Sarah Lampe <sarahmlampe@yahoo.com> Cc: Marlena Gilbert <mgilbert@gdrsd.org>

Sarah.

Thank you so much for your insight and email. It is never an inconvenience to hear from our stakeholders! I will certainly bring your observations and concerns to the committee for discussion. Take care.

[Quoted text hidden]

Sarah Lampe <sarahmlampe@yahoo.com>

Reply-To: Sarah Lampe <sarahmlampe@yahoo.com>

To: Fay Raynor <fraynor@gdrsd.org> Cc: Marlena Gilbert <mgilbert@gdrsd.org>

Thanks so much! Really appreciate all that the both of you do :-)

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android



Proposals that may impact the track behind the Florence Roche Elementary School 2 messages

T robertson <robertsonlt@hotmail.com>

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 9:51 AM

To: "Mgilbert@gdrsd.org" <Mgilbert@gdrsd.org>, "fraynor@gdrsd.org" <fraynor@gdrsd.org>

Good Morning!

I am writing to you to express my concerns regarding any proposal that would negatively impact the current track behind the Florence Roche Elementary School. My family and I have lived in Groton for over 23 years. During those years, four of my eight children have been active participants in the middle school track program that has utilized the subject track. All four of my "track children" qualified to participate in state championships, which was only possible given the training and competitions held on our quality home track.

Although a number of our district's playing fields are certainly in need of repair or replacement, related work should not place a successful program such as middle school track in jeopardy. Our district children truly need as many organized, structured recreational options as possible. These activities should include school sports. There are already very limited school sports offered at the middle school and, to eliminate or make a track program near impossible to run effectively (i.e. competitively), would constitute a major strike against the youth and their families in our district. Track is a wonderful sport because, in part, it provides students who might not otherwise be participating in sports an opportunity to find their niche in not only running individually or in relays, but also in exploring field events. The middle school track program clearly helps prepare participating students for the high school track program, which has also been very competitive in the state. In addition, many adults and children run, jog, and walk on the track when the school is not in session. The track is a community asset on many fronts and nothing should be done to impair its use.

Hopefully during these last nine months especially, we have all learned the importance of safe outdoor activities and that it is not the time to reduce options, but rather a time to examine how we might be able to expand upon them in the future. Thank you for your consideration and work that you do for our community.

Sincerely, Terry L. Robertson (Trimper Family)

Fay Raynor <fraynor@gdrsd.org>

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 9:57 AM

To: T robertson <robertsonlt@hotmail.com> Cc: "Mgilbert@gdrsd.org" < Mgilbert@gdrsd.org>

Thank you Terry for your thoughtful email.

I truly appreciate your insight and will relay your comments to the committee.

There is much work to do but having information and details such as the ones you provided with help to better formulate a plan for the track moving forward.

Take care,

Fay

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

Building Committee Members Present/Absent [6 voting members required for a quorum]

Committee Member	Present	Absent	Late [time arrived]
Fay Raynor	1	-	-
Mark Haddad	✓		
Alison Manugian	✓		
Luke Callahan	✓		and the second second
Brian O'Donoghue	✓		The state of the s
Dr. Laura Chesson	✓		***************************************
Colby Doody	✓		
Marlena Gilbert	✓		
Jake Lewon	7400	✓	- Williams
Sherry Kersey	✓		

Guests: David Saindon [Leftfield], Brian Dakin [Leftfield], Eileena Long [Leftfield], Sylvia Mihich [SGA], Meryl Nistler [SGA], 18 Members of the Public

1. Call meeting to order

Ms. Raynor called the Building Committee [BC] meeting to order at 6:00 PM.

2. Vote to approve meeting minutes

MOTION

Mr. Haddad made a motion to approve the 12/8/2020 meeting minutes. The motion was seconded by Dr. Chesson and approved 9-0-1-0 (yes-no-absent-abstained) via roll call vote:

Committee Member	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Fay Raynor	√			
Mark Haddad	✓			
Alison Manugian	1			
Luke Callahan	✓			

Groton-Dunstable Building Committee Meeting Minutes December 8, 2020

Web-based Virtual Meeting - 6:00 PM

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

Brian O'Donoghue	1	
Laura Chesson	✓	
Colby Doody	√	
Marlena Gilbert	✓	
Jake Lewon		✓
Sherry Kersey	✓	

3. Vote to approve invoices & commitments:

MOTION

Mr. Haddad made a motion to approve the November invoice package which contains Leftfield invoice for OPM Services for November 2020 in the amount of \$2,929 and Studio G Architects Invoice for November 2020 for Schematic Design in the amount of \$30,000. The motion was seconded by Ms. Gilbert and approved 9-0-1-0 (yes-no-absent-abstained) via roll call vote:

Committee Member	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain	
Fay Raynor	✓				
Mark Haddad	✓				
Alison Manugian	✓				
Luke Callahan	✓				
Brian O'Donoghue	1				
Laura Chesson	✓				
Colby Doody	✓				
Marlena Gilbert	1				
Jake Lewon			✓		
Sherry Kersey	✓				

4. Designer / OPM Updates:

a. Project Budget: Mr. Saindon presented the attached project budget. Mr. Saindon updated the BC that the track has now included in the project budget that was sent out to the BC. Mr. Saindon stated that both SGA's estimator PM&C and Leftfield's estimator Tarbell Construction Management did independent

GDBC Minutes_12.08.2020_Sent to BC on 12.11.2020, rev. 1

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

estimates for the track and LF, SGA, Tarbell and PM&C completed an estimate reconciliation on 12/7/2020, the 2 estimates were reconciled to within \$16,000 estimates of each other. Mr. Saindon stated that Leftfield has incorporated PM&C's track estimate into the total project budget and the updated total project budget including the track is \$77,900,734 not including the \$750,000 feasibility study as the feasibility study was previously appropriated. Mr. Saindon stated that this total project budget is under the upset ceiling limit of \$80M. Ms. Raynor noted that this is an estimate and a budget number only.

MOTION

Ms. Gilbert made a motion that the BC reaffirms their position in including the relocation of the \$1.4M direct cost of the track as part of the project. The motion was seconded by Mr. Haddad and approved 9-0-1-0 (yes-no-absent-abstained) via roll call vote:

Committee Member	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Fay Raynor	/		1	Abstaili
Mark Haddad	1			
Alison Manugian	1			-
Luke Callahan	1			
Brian O'Donoghue	1			
Laura Chesson	1		-	
Colby Doody	1			
Marlena Gilbert				
Jake Lewon			1	-
Sherry Kersey				

b. Vote to approve CM-R Sub-Committee:

MOTION

Mr. Haddad made a motion to have the CM-R pre-qualification and CM selection committee be comprised of Mark Haddad, Jake Lewon, Colby Doody, David Saindon of

Groton-Dunstable Building Committee Meeting Minutes December 8, 2020

Web-based Virtual Meeting - 6:00 PM

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

Leftfield, and Steve Michener of Studio G Architects. The motion was seconded by Ms. Manugian and approved 9-0-1-0 (yes-no-absent-abstained) via roll call vote:

Committee Member	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain	
Fay Raynor	✓				
Mark Haddad	✓				
Alison Manugian	✓				
Luke Callahan	✓				
Brian O'Donoghue	✓				
Laura Chesson	1				
Colby Doody	✓			***********	
Marlena Gilbert	✓				
Jake Lewon			1		
Sherry Kersey	1				

a. CPA [Community Preservation Application] GDSRD Discussion: Ms. Gilbert stated that the BC was decided in trying to bring the project in under budget and this track is a community asset, however the track is a \$1.4M item. Ms. Gilbert asked the BC to consider funding a portion of the new track with CPC funds as the Town of Groton CPC funds. Ms. Gilbert stated the tax payer contribute about 3% annually which totals out to \$670K per year, this amount does not include the state match which appears to be about a 17% match. Ms. Gilbert stated this track is an eligible project for CPC funds and the BC could earmark CPC funds for this project, if this application was submitted and approved by the CPC board. Ms. Gilbert stated that this would allow less of the project to be financed and this process would allow the Town to pay for the track before permanent bonding is required. Ms. Gilbert stated this is in her opinion the most costeffective manner. Ms. Raynor asked Mr. Saindon if there were any implications from the MSBA if the additional funding were to go towards the track and the track could still be built under the contract documents for this project, Mr. Saindon stated that is correct. Ms. Gilbert stated there is about \$500K in reserves in the CPC fund. The BC had a spirited discussion about the track

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

funding, Town Meeting articles, Town Meeting warrants and CPC approval. Ms. Manugian asked about the refining of costs associated with the project and she assumes that there will be cost items that come in differently then the estimates accounted for, she asked if the BC finds themselves needing to reduce something how will they maintain the ability to do that. Mr. Saindon agreed with Ms. Manugian's comments and stated there will be check estimates as the project is further refined, he stated there will be estimates done at Design Development and at the 60% Construction Document time. Mr. Saindon stated that there are periods during the design where the project will be able to adjust accordingly. Mr. Haddad and Ms. Raynor asked about doing the track as an add alternate like in Design Bid Build, Mr. Haddad asked about doing this in the CM-R process. Mr. Saindon stated he has not utilized alternates in the CM-R process, and he stated that the track from a schedule standpoint would be done early. Ms. Raynor stated that the Community support for the track was overwhelming. Ms. Raynor encouraged Mr. Haddad, Ms. Gilbert and Ms. Manugian to look at the different options for funding the track.

b. Community Feedback - Deluxe Corporation Site: Ms. Raynor updated the BC that she received an email from a community member regarding the Deluxe Corporation site being available and that it should be an additional site consideration for the new school. Ms. Raynor stated that the project did take a look at that site and that Ms. Gilbert reviewed the MLS listing for the site to see if it was available for purchase and it was not available for purchase. Ms. Raynor stated that if the building were purchased it would not be a cost saving measure in the long run and it would also "divorce" the school from the campus. Ms. Gilbert stated that the cost data that was reviewed based on historical data from Middle School South Addition/ Renovation and the Florence Roche Elementary School renovation option showed that renovation is "not a cheap option." Ms. Gilbert also stated that currently the Town collects about \$120K in taxes from the Deluxe property and this would be \$5-6M loss of revenue if the Deluxe property would be repurposed as a school.

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

- 5. Look-ahead project schedule/work plan: Mr. Saindon updated the BC that the total project budget/ 3011 would be incorporated into the Schematic Design package for the MSBA. Mr. Saindon reminded the BC that the draft SD package was sent to the BC on 12/4/2020 and that on 12/11/2020 LF would be sending out the balance of this SD package. Mr. Saindon reminded the BC that comments on this SD package were due on 12/14/2020 and that voting on SD package would be on agenda for the 12/15/2020 meeting.
- **6.** <u>Communication / outreach update:</u> The BC will develop a plan for further community outreach in January 2021.
- 7. Public comment: Community member, Jess Porto stated "PE [Physical Education] is an integral part of a complete education. It sounds like in the project is at least 7 million in contingency. I do not see how we can have a complete school without it." Community member, Dawn DeMeo stated "I'm still in favor of including the track in light of the cost." Community member, Ginger Vollmar stated "The track is important and should not be taken away. In fact, the new school should not be built over the area where the existing track is." Community member, Gordon Row stated "As a runner and member of the Road Race I understand the value of the track to that event, to the physical education of students, and as a resource for the whole community. No question about that. Looking ahead: I'd like to understand 1) if the committee views the track as a means to broaden the appeal of the overall project and 2) how the Groton Road Race can help to raise awareness and support." Ms. Raynor responded that the track is a valuable part of the district as it has a community value.

8. Adjourn

Ms. Manugian made a motion to adjourn the meeting; the motion was seconded by Ms. Gilbert and approved 9-0-1-0 (yes-no-absent-abstained) via roll call vote.

Committee Member	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Fay Raynor	✓		-	
Mark Haddad	✓			
Alison Manugian	✓			
Luke Callahan	✓			

Join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88410845561?pwd=SkFETTFISkNtaDdnRDZsVzMzS0hwQT09

To dial in by phone: +1 646 558 8656 US Conference ID: 884 1084 5561

Brian O'Donoghue	✓		
Laura Chesson	√		
Colby Doody	✓		
Marlena Gilbert	✓		
Jake Lewon	✓		
Sherry Kersey		✓	

December 11, 2020

Groton Community Preservation Committee

Re: Main Street Campus Track Project

This letter serves as our formal support for the Main Street Campus Track Community Preservation application in Groton. As community members and taxpayers of Groton, we believe that a Main Street Campus track is an incredible community asset.

Funding such an asset with Community Preservation Funds supports our community values.

Funding this project with Community Preservation Funds will decrease the overall amount of bonding by \$1,405,514 for the Florence Roche Elementary School Project. Approval of this project would help alleviate some of the tax burdens of the Florence Roche Elementary Building Project for Groton taxpayers.

As Groton Community members and taxpayers, we believe this project should be a priority consideration for Community Preservation Funds.

We formally request the Community Preservation Committee to recommend this project to Spring 2021 Groton Town Meeting.

Thank You Groton Residents/Taxpayers

DocuSigned by:	
Mary Falzone Groton MA	Dawn DeMes 47 Champney St Groton MA
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
Dean Lanking 114 Gratuity	Mancy Timple Bugher Groton, MA
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
145 3- 27 Whitaker Lane	Suisser 160 Gratuity Rd. Groton, MA
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
Brian (artier 12 Bixby Hill Rd	Diana Via Groton Ma 01450
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address 226 Cherry Tree LN
Dennis Dilbert Arbor	ldam Southwick Groton, MA 01450
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
The Jam Scorn Path Groton MA	Delo Busser Broad Meadow Rd
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
Debbie Mason 43 Oxbow Lane	Courtney Southwick 226 cherry tree Tane Groton MA 01450
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
Gina (ronin 15 Gilson Rd	Ewin Capone 25 Whitney Pond
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
Miliran Eussian Groton, MA	in The state of th
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address 55 Overlook Drive
Caroly Marke. 29 Sawtell Dr Groton MA 01450	Cynthia Cane-Stand Groton, MA 01450
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
Courtney Ryan 21 Magnolia Ln	Signature/Street Address 189 Forge Village Rd
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
C19 Drumlin Hill Rd. Donna Haggerty groton, MA 01450	Cardinal Lane
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address

December 11, 2020

Groton Community Preservation Committee

Re: Main Street Campus Track Project

This letter serves as our formal support for the Main Street Campus Track Community Preservation application in Groton. As community members and taxpayers of Groton, we believe that a Main Street Campus track is an incredible community asset.

Funding such an asset with Community Preservation Funds supports our community values.

Funding this project with Community Preservation Funds will decrease the overall amount of bonding by \$1,405,514 for the Florence Roche Elementary School Project. Approval of this project would help alleviate some of the tax burdens of the Florence Roche Elementary Building Project for Groton taxpayers.

As Groton Community members and taxpayers, we believe this project should be a priority consideration for Community Preservation Funds.

We formally request the Community Preservation Committee to recommend this project to Spring 2021 Groton Town Meeting.

alle

Thank You Groton Residents/Taxpayers

	225 Cherry Tree
Gordon D Zow 47 Town Forest Rd	Lane
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
Terry Robertson 146 Paquawket	Weall Manner 32 Nashua Rd
Signature/Street Address Richard J Spallone 185 Hollis	Signature/Street Address 207 Gratuity Rd Groton
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address 5 Mockingbird Hill
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
Beth Blackketter	9 Lake Groton
Signature/Street Address STREET ADDRESS	Signature/Street Address
Jerrya 15 Acorn Path	Phil Lamoreaus
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
Chency & Harper	Therese Carpenter 50 Champney St.
Signature/Street Address Saralı Bullwinkel 509 LongTey Rd, Groton	Signature/Street Address Drumlin Hill Rd Scott Haggery Groton, MA
Signature/Street Address Molin La & 208 Main St.	Signature/Street Address
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address
Peter Cunningham	Street address
Signature/Street Address 131 Skyfields	Signature/Street Address
Anne Larsen Drive, Groton,	Maciena Gelleret 45 Arbor
Signature/Street Address 76 Paquawket Uuristine Packard Path	Signature/Street Address 15 Acorn Path Groton MA
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address

December 11, 2020

Groton Community Preservation Committee

Re: Main Street Campus Track Project

This letter serves as our formal support for the Main Street Campus Track Community Preservation application in Groton. As community members and taxpayers of Groton, we believe that a Main Street Campus track is an incredible community asset.

Funding such an asset with Community Preservation Funds supports our community values.

Funding this project with Community Preservation Funds will decrease the overall amount of bonding by \$1,405,514 for the Florence Roche Elementary School Project. Approval of this project would help alleviate some of the tax burdens of the Florence Roche Elementary Building Project for Groton taxpayers.

As Groton Community members and taxpayers, we believe this project should be a priority consideration for Community Preservation Funds.

We formally request the Community Preservation Committee to recommend this project to Spring 2021 Groton Town Meeting.

Thank You Groton Residents/Taxpayers

Chem Toud	Brad Harper	
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	_
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	_
Signature/Street Address STREET ADDRESS (Linistine Brooks 248 Main	Signature/Street Address	_
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	_
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	_
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	_
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	=
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	-
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address	

December 15, 2020

Groton Community Preservation Committee

Re: Main Street Campus Track Project

As Groton voters and taxpayers, we believe that the Main Street Campus track is a community asset and is considered a pinnacle element of the Florence Roche Elementary School Building Project and should be funded as such.

Thank You

Groton Residents/Taxpayers

JA Street	turni Strauss 38 Westview street
Signature/Street Address	Signature/Street Address

TOWN OF GROTON, MASSACHUSETTS

23-Dec-20

Prepared by UniBank Fiscal Advisory Services, Inc.

Scenario #1 - 5 Years

		FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027		
annual appropriation	\$0	23,800	302,400	298,900	294,000	287,560		\$1,206,660
amount to be renewed		\$1,120,000	840,000	260,000	280,000	0		
paydown of principal		\$280,000	\$280,000	\$280,000	\$280,000	\$280,000		\$1,400,000
interest payable		23,800	22,400	18,900	14,000	7,560	to be an earlier of the same and the same and the same and the same and the	\$86,660
date of maturity		01-Feb-23	01-Feb-24	01-Feb-25	30-Jan-26	15-Jan-27		
rate		1.70%	2.00%	2.25%	2.50%	2.70%		
par amount of issue	6	\$1,400,000	1,120,000	840,000	260,000	280,000		
date of issue	r	OI-Feb-22	01-Feb-23	01-Feb-24	01-Feb-25	30-Jan-26		

TOWN OF GROTON, MASSACHUSETTS

23-Dec-20 Prepared by UniBank Fiscal Advisory Services, Inc.

Scenario #2 - 7 Years

			2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029		
a	n	0	0 FY	O FY	Y					ł	_
annna	appropriation	\$0	23,800 FY2023	224,000	222,500	220,000	216,200	12,000	206,500		\$1.325.000
	appro			7	7	7	2	7	7		\$1.3
o pe	renewed		000	000	000	000	000	000	0		
amount to be	rene		31,200,000	1,000,000	800,000	600,000	400,000	200,000			
	_		0,								
paydown of	principal		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	200,000	200,000		\$1,400,000
payd	pr		\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20		\$1,40
rest	ple		00	00	8	00	90	8	00	1	00
interest	payable		23,800	24,000	22,500	20,000	16,200	12,000	6,500		\$125,000
										1	0,
date of	maturity		01-Feb-23	11-Feb-24)1-Feb-25	30-Jan-26	30-Jan-27	30-Jan-28	30-Jan-29		
0	ma		01-F	01-F	01-F	30-1	30-7	30-7	30-1		
	e e		%	%	%	%	%	%	%		
	rate		1.70	2.00%	2.25%	2.50%	2.70%	3.00%	3.25%		
ount	of issue		000	000	000	000	000	000	000		
par amount	P		1,400,0	1,200,0	1,000,0	800,0	600,0	400,000	200,0		
			·V·								
date of	issue		01-Feb-22	01-Feb-23	01-Feb-24	eb-25	30-Jan-26	an-27	0-Jan-28		
70			01-F	01-F	01-F	01-F	30-7	30-7	30-1		
	F										

TOWN OF GROTON, MASSACHUSETTS 23-Dec-20

Prepared by UniBank Fiscal Advisory Services, Inc.

Scenario #3 - 10 Years

date of par amount issue of issue of issue of issue 11-Feb-22 \$1,400,000 11-Feb-23 1,260,000	rate 1.70% 2.00%	date of maturity 01-Feb-23	interest payable 23,800	paydown of principal \$140,000	shount to be renewed \$1,260,000	
1,120,000	2.25%	01-Feb-25	25,200	\$140,000	980,000	165,200 FY2025
980,000 840,000	2.50%	30-Jan-26 30-Jan-27	24,500	\$140,000	840,000	164,500 FY2026
700,000	3.00%	30-Jan-28	21,000	\$140,000	260,000	
260,000	3.25%	29-Jan-29	18,200	\$140,000	420,000	-
420,000	3.25%	29-Jan-30	13,650	\$140,000	280,000	153,650 FY2030
280,000	3.50%	29-Jan-31	9,800	\$140,000	140,000	149,800 FY2031
.40,000	3.50%	29-Jan-32	4,900	\$140,000	0	144,900 FY2032

			\$188,930	\$1,400,000		\$1.448.930

Town of Groton, Massachusetts

Proposed Track Borrowing 12/23/2020

Debt Service Schedule

Fiscal To	Total P+I	Interest	Coupon	Principal	Date
1 13041 10	i vidi I i I		-	_	02/15/2022
	24.500.00	24,500.00	-		08/15/2022
	24,500.00	24,500.00	3.500%	75,000.00	02/15/2023
	99,500.00	24,300.00	5.50070		06/30/2023
124,000	-	22 197 50	-	_	08/15/2023
	23,187.50	23,187.50	3.500%	75,000.00	02/15/2024
	98,187.50	23,187.50	3.30076	73,000.00	06/30/2024
121,375.		-	-		08/15/2024
	21,875.00	21,875.00	3.500%	80,000.00	02/15/2025
	101,875.00	21,875.00	3.300%	00,000.00	06/30/2025
123,750.	-	-	-		08/15/2025
	20,475.00	20,475.00	-	90 000 00	02/15/2026
	100,475.00	20,475.00	3.500%	80,000.00	06/30/2026
120,950.	-	-	-	15	08/15/2026
	19,075.00	19,075.00	-	7	
	104,075.00	19,075.00	3.500%	85,000.00	02/15/2027
123,150.	-	-	-	=	06/30/2027
125,150.	17,587,50	17,587.50	=		08/15/2027
	102,587.50	17,587.50	3.500%	85,000.00	02/15/2028
120,175.			-	-	06/30/2028
120,173.	16,100.00	16,100.00	-		08/15/2028
	106,100.00	16,100.00	3.500%	90,000.00	02/15/2029
122 200	100,100.00	,		-	06/30/2029
122,200.0	14 525 00	14,525.00	_	-	08/15/2029
	14,525.00	14,525.00	3.500%	90,000.00	02/15/2030
-0.	104,525.00	14,323.00	-		06/30/2030
119,050.0	12.050.00	12,950.00		-	08/15/2030
	12,950.00		3.500%	95,000.00	02/15/2031
	107,950.00	12,950.00	3.30076	_	06/30/2031
120,900.0	-	11 207 50	-		08/15/2031
	11,287.50	11,287.50	3.500%	100,000.00	02/15/2032
	111,287.50	11,287.50	3.30070	100,000.00	06/30/2032
122,575.0	100 march 200 ma	-	(=)	-	08/15/2032
	9,537.50	9,537.50		100,000,00	02/15/2033
	109,537.50	9,537.50	3.500%	100,000.00	06/30/2033
119,075.0	-	-	=	-	
	7,787.50	7,787.50	-	_	08/15/2033
	112,787.50	7,787.50	3.500%	105,000.00	02/15/2034
120,575.0		-	-	1.7	06/30/2034
120,575.0	5,950.00	5,950.00	-		08/15/2034
	115,950.00	5,950.00	3.500%	110,000.00	02/15/2035
121,900.0	,	-	2		06/30/2035
121,900.0	4,025.00	4,025.00	-	-	08/15/2035
	119,025.00	4,025.00	3.500%	115,000.00	02/15/2036
122 050 0	119,023.00	-,023.00	_	122	06/30/2036
123,050.0	2.012.50	2,012.50	2	-	08/15/2036
	2,012.50		3.500%	115,000.00	02/15/2037
	117,012.50	2,012.50	5.50076		06/30/2037
119,025.0	-	-	() -		
	\$1,821,750.00	\$421,750.00		\$1,400,000.00	Total
					eld Statistics
#10.05c.0					nd Year Dollars
\$12,050.00		-			erage Life
8.607 Year					verage Coupon

Net Interest Cost (NIC)	
True Interest Cost (TIC)	3.5000000%
Bond Yield for Arbitrage Purposes	3.5000000%
All Inclusive Cost (AIC)	3.5000000%
All inclusive Cost (AIC)	3.5000000%
IRS Form 8038	
Net Interest Cost	2.50000000
Weighted Average Maturity	3.5000000%
	8.607 Years
July 2022 Bond Issue 15 y SINGLE PURPOSE 12/23/2020 2:39 PM	

UniBank Fiscal Advisory Services, Inc.